

Category	1 (lowest)	2	3	4	5 (highest)
Domain Effectiveness How effectively does the tool address the specific track (Mental Health or Physical Health)?	The tool has no clear connection to mental or physical health.	The project mentions the track but does not address any core challenges of the condition or use case.	A baseline solution that addresses a real problem within the track, though it relies on common, predictable methods.	Displays a strong understanding of user needs. The tool solves a specific, significant problem within the track effectively.	The solution directly, deeply, and accurately addresses critical pain points in an optimal and unique way.
Feasibility & Safety Is the solution medically sound, safe for users, and practical to implement in a real-world scenario?	The tool provides medically unsound advice, risks harming the user, or requires impossible real-world resources to function.	Contains obvious safety loopholes, high potential for health misinformation, or relies on highly unrealistic assumptions.	Generally safe and usable, but lacks clear clinical logic or introduces significant real-world workflow friction.	Safe, grounded in realistic clinical or health practices, and easily deployable to real users with minimal adjustments.	Significant, effective safety guardrails, clinical validity, and fully prepared for real-world integration or clinical environments.